

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/670,319	09/26/2003	Martin W. Weiser	H0004362	5332	
21567 7	7590 09/22/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
WELLS ST. JOHN P.S.			NGUYEN, DILINH P		
601 W. FIRST SPOKANE, V	T AVENUE, SUITE 1300 WA 99201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2814	<u> </u>	
		<u>.</u>	DATE MAILED: 09/22/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary		10/670	,319	WEISER ET AL.	WEISER ET AL.				
		Examii	ner	Art Unit					
		DiLinh	Nguyen	2814					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi r Reply	cation appears on	the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	idress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MASSICE OF	AILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unication. tutory period will apply an will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUN event, however, may d will expire SIX (6) Mo application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>30 June 200</i> 6	5 .						
, —	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
/—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
-,-	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.								
7)									
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)		w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	10-3-0)	5) Notice of	of Informal Patent Application					
	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) 🔲 Other: _	 ·					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2 and 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andricacos et al. (U.S. Pat. 6224690).

Andricacos et al. disclose a semiconductor package comprising a lead free solder ball comprising tin (Sn) as the predominate component and one or more alloying elements selected from Ag, Bi and further optionally including one or more elements selected from the group consisting of In and Cu (cover figs. and abstract).

Andricacos et al. do not disclose that the solder having an alpha flux of less than 0.001 cts/cm²/hr.

However, the solder of Andricacos et al. is substantially lead-free and wherein the solder predominately comprises Sn (abstract). The solder of Andricacos et al. is similar to the solder of the claimed invention. Therefore, the solder of Andricacos et al. would have an alpha flux of less than 0.001 cts/cm²/hr.

- Regarding claims 2 and 4, Andricacos et al. disclose that wherein the solder predominately comprises Sn (abstract).
- Regarding claim 5, Andricacos et al. disclose that wherein the solder is lead-free (abstract).

Art Unit: 2814

3. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schrock (U.S. Pat. 6221691).

Schrock discloses a semiconductor package comprising a die 10, a substrate 22, a solder predominately comprises Ag (a plurality of silver balls for improving heat transfer from the die 10) (column 2, lines 5-10).

Schrock does not disclose that the solder having an alpha flux of less than 0.001 cts/cm²/hr.

However, the solder of Schrock is similar to the solder of the claimed invention (a solder predominately comprises Ag). Therefore, the solder of Schrock would have an alpha flux of less than 0.001 cts/cm²/hr.

4. Claims 1 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hara (U.S. Pat. 6433390).

Hara discloses a semiconductor package comprising the solder is lead containing solder that is at least 99 weight % lead (a Pb [lead] bump 908) (fig. 10A, column 14, line 30).

Hara does not disclose that the lead-containing solder has an alpha flux of less than 0.005 cts/cm²/hr, 0.0002 cts/cm²/hr or 0.0001 cts/cm²/hr.

However, the lead-containing solder of Hara is similar to the solder of the claimed invention (the solder is lead containing solder that is at least 99 weight % lead (a Pb [lead] bump 908) (fig. 10A, column 14, line 30)). Therefore, the lead-containing solder of Hara et al. would have an alpha flux of less than 0.005 cts/cm²/hr, 0.0002 cts/cm²/hr or 0.0001 cts/cm²/hr.

Art Unit: 2814

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DiLinh Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1712. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 6:00PM (M-F).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (571) 272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DLN

HOA PHAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER